

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus & Enumeration Operators

**Dana S. Scott**

University Professor Emeritus  
*Carnegie Mellon University*

Visiting Scholar  
*University of California, Berkeley*

**dana.scott@cs.cmu.edu**

*Version of 2 October 2012*

# What is $\lambda$ -Calculus?

The calculus gives rules for the *explicit definition* of functions; however, the *type-free* version also permits *recursion* and *self-replication*.

## $\alpha$ -conversion

$$\lambda X. [\dots X \dots] = \lambda Y. [\dots Y \dots]$$

## $\beta$ -conversion

$$(\lambda X. [\dots X \dots]) (T) = [\dots T \dots]$$

## $\eta$ -conversion

$$\lambda X. F(X) = F$$

Church's original system (1932) also had rules for *logic*, but that was the system proved *inconsistent* by Kleene-Rosser in (1936).

The names of the rules are due to Curry.  
The last rule **fails** in many interpretations, and special efforts are needed to make it valid.

# The Easy $\lambda$ -Calculus Model

$$(n, m) = 2^n (2m+1)$$

$$\text{set}(0) = \emptyset$$

$$\text{set}((n, m)) = \text{set}(n) \cup \{m\}$$

$$X^* = \{n \mid \text{set}(n) \subseteq X\}$$

## *Application*

$$F(X) = \{m \mid \exists n \in X^*. (n, m) \in F\}$$

## *Abstraction*

$$\lambda X. [\dots X \dots] =$$

$$\{0\} \cup \{(n, m) \mid m \in [\dots \text{set}(n) \dots]\}$$

**Every** set  $F$  of integers can be used as above as an **enumeration operator**. The operator is **computable** if the set is r.e. Many compound contexts do define enumeration operators, but some precise definitions have to be given to explain which are appropriate.

# Defining Continuous Operators

**Definition.** An operator,  $\Phi(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ , from sets of integers to sets of integers is called **monotone** iff for all values of the variables, whenever  $X_i \subseteq Y_i$  for all  $i < n$ , we then have  $\Phi(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \subseteq \Phi(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ .

**Definition.** A monotone operator  $\Phi$  is **continuous** iff whenever  $m \in \Phi(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ , then there are  $x_i \in X_i^*$  for all  $i < n$ , such that  $m \in \Phi(\text{set}(x_0), \dots, \text{set}(x_{n-1}))$ .

In other words: any **finite amount of information** about an **output** is already determined by some **finite amount of information** about the **inputs**.

**Theorem.** The continuous operators are exactly the same as the **topologically continuous** functions on the **powerset** of the set  $N$  of integers using the sets  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{X \mid n \in X^*\}$  as a basis for the topological **neighborhoods**.

# Some Continuous Operators

**Theorem.** The *application operation*,  $F(X)$ , is continuous.

**Theorem.** If the operator  $\Phi(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$  is continuous, then so is the *abstraction*  $\lambda X_0. \Phi(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ .

**Theorem.** The *composition* of continuous operators is always continuous.

**Corollary.** All  $\lambda$ -terms define continuous operators – even if they contain *constants*.

**Theorem.** All these operators are continuous:

$$X \cap Y$$

$$X \cup Y$$

$$X^*$$

$$X + Y = \{n+m \mid n \in X \ \& \ m \in Y\}$$

$$X \times Y = \{(n, m) \mid n \in X \ \& \ m \in Y\}$$

$$X \oplus Y = (\{0\} \times X) \cup (\{1\} \times Y)$$

$$\text{test}(X, Y, Z) = \{m \in Y \mid 0 \in X\} \cup \{m \in Z \mid \exists n. n+1 \in X\}$$

$$\text{succ}(X) = \{n+1 \mid n \in X\}$$

$$\text{pred}(X) = \{n \mid n+1 \in X\}$$

# Validating Axioms

**Theorem.** If  $\Phi(X)$  is continuous, then  $\lambda X.\Phi(X)$  is the *largest* set  $F$  such that for all sets  $T$ ,  $F(T) = \Phi(T)$ .

**Corollary.** The  $\lambda$ -terms as interpreted in the model do indeed satisfy  $\alpha$ -conversion and  $\beta$ -conversion.

**Corollary.** For all sets  $F$  we have  $F \subseteq \lambda X.F(X)$ .

**Corollary.** If  $F = \lambda X.\Phi(X)$ , then  $F = \lambda X.F(X)$ .

**Theorem.** If both operators  $\Phi(X)$  and  $\Psi(X)$  are continuous, then

$\lambda X.\Phi(X) \subseteq \lambda X.\Psi(X)$  iff  $\forall X.\Phi(X) \subseteq \Psi(X)$

and

$\lambda X.(\Phi(X) \cup \Psi(X)) = \lambda X.\Phi(X) \cup \lambda X.\Psi(X)$ .

# Least Fixed Points

**Theorem.** If  $\Phi(X)$  is continuous, then  $\Phi$  has a **least fixed point**  $P = \Phi(P)$  given by

$$P = \bigcup_n \Phi^n(\emptyset).$$

**Theorem.** If  $\Phi(X)$  is continuous and if  $\nabla = \lambda X. \Phi(X(X))$ , then  $P = \nabla(\nabla)$  **is** the least fixed point of  $\Phi$ .

**Definition.** The following are called the **arithmetic combinators**:

$$K = \lambda X. \lambda Y. X$$

$$S = \lambda X. \lambda Y. \lambda Z. X(Z)(Y(Z))$$

$$\text{Test} = \lambda X. \lambda Y. \lambda Z. \text{test}(X, Y, Z)$$

$$\text{Succ} = \lambda X. \text{succ}(X)$$

$$\text{Pred} = \lambda X. \text{pred}(X)$$

**Theorem.** In the model the arithmetic combinators all denote **recursive sets**, and the **recursively enumerable sets** are their combinations using application alone.

# A Single Generator

## Definition.

$$\mathbf{G} = (\lambda x. \text{Test}(x) (\mathbf{H}_0) (\{0\})) \setminus \{0\}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \lambda x. \text{Test}(x) (\mathbf{K}) (\mathbf{H}_1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \lambda x. \text{Test}(x) (\mathbf{S}) (\mathbf{H}_2)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \lambda x. \text{Test}(x) (\text{Test}) (\mathbf{H}_3)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_3 = \lambda x. \text{Test}(x) (\text{Succ}) (\text{Pred})$$

**Theorem.** In the model the combinator  $\mathbf{G}$  generates all, and only, the *recursively enumerable sets* under application alone.

**Theorem.** The semilattice of finitely generated applicative subalgebras of the model containing  $\mathbf{G}$  is isomorphic to the semilattice of *enumeration degrees*.

**Question.** Are there applicative  $\mathbf{G}$ -subalgebras requiring *infinitely many* (even *uncountably many*) generators?

# A Universal Space

All spaces here will be  $T_0$ -spaces.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the *powerset* of the integers  $\mathbb{N}$  with the  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{X \mid n \in X^*\}$  as a *basis* for the topology. Additionally use the convention that each  $n = \{n\}$ , so that  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  with a *discrete* subspace topology.

**Theorem.** Every *countably based*  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is *homeomorphic* to a *subspace* of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Theorem.** Every continuous function  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  on a *subspace*  $X \subseteq Y$  can be *extended* to a *maximal* continuous  $\Phi^*: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  on the *superspace*.

The space  $\mathbb{P}$  is an example of an **injective**  $T_0$ -space. Such spaces form a very extensive category.

# The Category of Retractions

**Definition.**  $D \in \mathbb{P}$  is a *retraction* iff

$$D = \lambda x. D(D(x)) \subseteq \lambda x. x$$

For two retractions  $D$  and  $E$  write

$F: D \rightarrow E$  iff  $F = \lambda x. E(F(D(x)))$  and let

$$(D \rightarrow E) = \lambda F. \lambda x. E(F(D(x))).$$

**Theorem.** The category of *retractions* of  $\mathbb{P}$  is isomorphic to the topological category of *injective subspaces* of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Definition.**  $X, Y \in \mathbb{P}$  write

$$\text{Pair}(X)(Y) = \{2n \mid n \in X\} \cup \{2m+1 \mid m \in Y\},$$

$$\text{Fst}(Z) = \{n \mid 2n \in Z\},$$

$$\text{Snd}(Z) = \{m \mid 2m+1 \in Z\}.$$

For two retractions  $D$  and  $E$  write

$$(D \times E) = \lambda z. \text{Pair}(D(\text{Fst}(z)))(E(\text{Snd}(z))).$$

**Theorem.** The category of *retractions* of  $\mathbb{P}$  is a *cartesian closed category*.

**Remember:** Cartesian closed categories are the models of typed  $\lambda$ -calculus.